YRS Recidivism

for Release Cohorts 2008, 2009 and 2010



June 2012

Prepared by
State of Delaware
Criminal Justice Council

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS CENTER

YRS Facility Populations by Quarter for 2008-2011

Thomas F. MacLeish, Interim Director Statistical Analysis Center

Author: Philisa J. Weidlein-Crist

Data Collection and Preparation:
Sarah M. Hoffman
April Schmitt
Charles J. Huenke

This report is supported by the Bureau of Justice Statistics - State Justice Statistics Grant Number 2008-BJ-CX-K022.

The points of view expressed in this document do not necessarily represent the official position of the United States Department of Justice.

State of Delaware Document number 100703 120606

Please visit our website @_http://cjc.delaware.gov/sac/publications.shtml

YRS Recidivism for Release Cohorts 2008, 2009 and 2010

The following information is based on youth released from a YRS Level 5 or Level 4 facility in 2008, 2009 or 2010 calendar year. There were a total of 814 youth released with 394 released in 2008, 219 in 2009 and 201 released in 2010. This drop in releases corresponds directly with the drop in YRS admissions for the same years, and the decrease in the overall YRS population (SAC Quarterly, 2012).

All youth served at least 30 days or more at either a Level 5 or Level 4 facility and were at risk at least 18 months after release. 'At risk' dates were calculated using the day the youth was released from a secure facility, juvenile or adult, as some youth went directly from a YRS facility to an adult facility. Information in this report contains only arrests and re-admissions to a secure facility within the first 18 months of release.

While viewing tables throughout this document, careful attention should be given to some of the small groups that are represented. Slight changes in counts within those small groups could create significant percentage shifts. Readers may choose to focus on larger groups where conclusions might be drawn with greater confidence.

Section 1: Demographics

Tables 1 through 4 describe the demographic makeup of YRS youth released between 2008 and 2010. The majority of youth released from a YRS facility in 2008, 2009 and 2010 were Male, Black, Non-Hispanic and between the ages of 15 and 18. Females make up only 7% to 18% of all youth released. Of all the youth released, White youth make up 25% to 32% and Other races comprise less than 1%. Between 6% and 9% of youth released were Hispanic. Less than 20% of all released youth were under 15 or over 18 years of age.

Table 1: Sex of Youth by Release Year

Sex	F	Release Yea	ır
Sex	2008	2009	2010
Male	320	190	185
Female	74	29	16
Totals	394	219	201

¹ Re-Admission to a Secure Facility includes YRS Secure Detention, Level 5 and Level 4 facilities and Adult Secure Detention, Level 5 and Level 4 institutions.

Table 2: Race of Youth by Release Year

		. 27	
Race	F	Release Yea	ır
Nace	2008	2009	2010
Black	269	162	135
White	122	56	66
Other	3	1	0
Totals	394	219	201

Table 3: Ethnicity of Youth by Release Year

C+bpicity	ı	Release Yea	ır
Ethnicity	2008	2009	2010
Non-Hispanic	357	204	186
Hispanic	37	15	15
Totals	394	219	201

Table 4: Age of Youth at Release by Release Year

	,		
Age at	F	Release Yea	ır
Release	2008	2009	2010
12	1	0	1
13	7	4	9
14	29	22	16
15	52	31	35
16	107	47	53
17	111	63	52
18	82	46	33
19-20	5	6	2
Totals	394	219	201

Section 2: Re-Arrest and Re-Admission for Stay Type and Facility Flow

The following tables are based on Stay Type and Facility Flow. Stay Type refers to the highest conviction charge for why the youth was in a facility. Facility Flow describes the way the youth moved through the YRS system and what type of institutions he/she attended. For instance, 'SDL5L4' refers to a youth who went to Secure Detention, then a Level 5 facility and lastly, a Level 4 facility.

Between 42% and 56% of all youth released in 2008, 2009 and 2010 were serving time on a Felony offense. Twenty-one to 23% were serving time on a Misdemeanor, 20%-29% for a Violation of Probation (VOP) and 1% to 5% were serving time on a Misdemeanor and Violation of Probation.

The majority of youth released were held in Secure Detention and then sent to a Level 4 facility; 60.8% in 2008, 50.7% in 2009, 61.2% in 2010. The second most common facility flow type was from Secure Detention to Level 5 to Level 4. Direct placements in Level 5 or Level 4 occur in less than 7% of the youth released between 2008 and 2010, with direct placements to L5 only occurring in 2009.

Table 5: Youth Stay Type by Facility Flow

		14516 3. 10			y Flow			T. (.)
	Stay Type	SDL5L4	SDL5	SDL4	L5L4	L5	L4	Total
	Felony	64	14	70	6	0	11	165
_ ~	Misdemeanor	14	0	70	0	0	4	88
2008	VOP	28	0	82	1	0	7	118
7	Misdemeanor and VOP	4	2	17	0	0	0	23
	Totals	110	16	239	7	0	22	394
	Felony	56	13	37	2	1	9	118
6	Misdemeanor	9	1	37	1	0	3	51
2009	VOP	9	1	33	0	1	1	45
	Misdemeanor and VOP	1	0	4	0	0	0	5
	Totals	<i>75</i>	15	111	3	2	13	219
	Felony	47	5	50	0	0	9	111
	Misdemeanor	4	0	36	0	0	3	43
2010	VOP	7	0	35	0	0	2	44
7	Misdemeanor and VOP	1	0	2	0	0	0	3
	Totals	59	5	123	0	0	14	201

SD=Secure Detention L5=Level 5 L4=Level 4

Tables 6 and 7 describe Re-Arrest by Stay Type and Facility Flow, respectively. Re-Arrest refers to any Felony, Misdemeanor or Violation of Probation arrest in the first 18 months after release. Felony Arrest refers to a felony arrest in the first 12 months of release. Seventy-four to 100% of youths released in 2008, 2009 or 2010 from a YRS facility are arrested in the first 18 months of release with a combined average for all three years of 82.7%. Between 35% and 54% of youth are arrested in the first 12 months of release for a Felony charge. Interesting to note, those being released on a non-Felony conviction have just as high if not higher arrest rates for a Felony in the first 12 months as those who were serving time on a Felony. This is not to say that the youth released in 2008, 2009 or 2010 for a Misdemeanor or Violation of Probation was not previously convicted of a Felony, but if they did not have a Felony prior history, then this information might show a progression to more serious criminal behavior.

Table 7 highlights Facility Flow by Re-Arrest. Re-arrest in the first 18 months ranges from 0% to 96% with direct Level 5 youth being the lowest group to be re-arrested in 2009. Direct L5 sentences have a zero re-arrest rate for any arrest and for Felony arrest in the first 12 months compared to their counterparts who went to Secure Detention prior to Level 5 placement.

Table 6: Re-Arrest by Stay Type

		Any Arr		Felony Arre	st	Total At
	Stay Type	Re-Arrest in 18 months	%	Felony Arrest in first 12 months	%	Risk Youth
	Felony	133	80.6%	68	41.2%	165
~	Misdemeanor	75	85.2%	43	48.9%	88
2008	VOP	105	89.0%	54	45.8%	118
~	Misdemeanor and VOP	21	91.3%	12	52.2%	23
	Totals	334	84.8%	177	44.9%	394
	Felony	87	73.7%	42	35.6%	118
	Misdemeanor	45	88.2%	28	54.9%	51
2009	VOP	35	77.8%	10	22.2%	45
~	Misdemeanor and VOP	4	80.0%	2	40.0%	5
	Totals	171	78.1%	82	37.4%	219
	Felony	85	76.6%	43	38.7%	111
	Misdemeanor	37	86.0%	17	39.5%	43
2010	VOP	43	97.7%	20	45.5%	44
(7	Misdemeanor and VOP	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	Totals	167	83.1%	81	40.3%	201

Table 7: Re-Arrest by Facility Flow

		Any Arr	est	Felony Arro	est	Total At
Fac	ility Flow	Re-Arrest in 18 months	%	Felony Arrest in first 12 months	%	Risk Youth
	SDL5L4	92	83.6%	54	49.1%	110
	SDL5	12	75.0%	7	43.8%	16
2008	SDL4	208	87.0%	105	43.9%	239
20	L5L4	6	85.7%	4	57.1%	7
	L4	16	72.7%	7	31.8%	22
	Totals	334	85.0%	177 45.0		394
	SDL5L4	63	84.0%	30	40.0%	75
	SDL5	10	66.7%	4	26.7%	15
	SDL4	86	77.5%	41	36.9%	111
2009	L5L4	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	L5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	L4	11	84.6%	6	46.2%	13
	Totals	171	78.1%	82	37.4%	219
	SDL5L4	50	84.7%	28	47.5%	59
	SDL5	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	5
2010	SDL4	102	82.9%	47	38.2%	123
(7	L4	12	85.7%	5	35.7%	14
	Totals	167	83.1%	81	40.3%	201

Tables 8 and 9 illustrate Stay Type and Facility Flow by Re-Admission, respectively. Table 8 shows the admission to a Juvenile or Adult facility within the first 18 months of release. YRS and Adult facilities are mutually exclusive and thus a juvenile could not be counted as admitted to a YRS facility and an Adult facility in the first 18 months. Up to 69% of released youth were re-admitted to a YRS secure facility or an Adult secure facility with the majority of YRS re-admission percentages between 30 to 50% and Adult re-admissions between 12 and 28%. Youth who were released for a Felony conviction were less likely than their Misdemeanor and Violation of Probation counterparts to be admitted to a YRS facility but more likely to be committed to an Adult facility in the first 18 months, with the exception of 2010 where they were just as likely to be admitted to a YRS or an Adult facility.

Table 8: Re-Admission by Stay Type

		Juvenile f	acility	Adult Fa	cility	Total	A D	0/ 4 D.a
	Stay Type	Re- Admission	%	Re- Admission	%	Youth At Risk	Any Re- Admission	% Any Re- Admission
	Felony	64	39.5%	45	27.3%	165	109	66.1%
α	Misdemeanor	49	56.6%	11	12.5%	88	60	68.2%
2008	VOP	60	49.6%	21	17.8%	118	81	68.6%
7	Misdemeanor and VOP	14	60.0%	6	26.1%	23	20	87.0%
	Totals	187	47.3%	83	21.1%	394	270	68.5%
	Felony	28	22.7%	32	27.1%	118	60	50.8%
6	Misdemeanor	27	53.3%	12	23.5%	51	39	76.5%
2009	VOP	22	43.9%	5	11.1%	45	27	60.0%
7	Misdemeanor and VOP	1	50.0%	2	40.0%	5	3	60.0%
	Totals	78	33.9%	51	23.3%	219	129	58.9%
	Felony	46	41.6%	23	20.7%	111	69	62.2%
	Misdemeanor	19	41.5%	8	18.6%	43	27	62.8%
2010	VOP	28	69.4%	4	9.1%	44	32	72.7%
	Misdemeanor and VOP	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	3	2	66.7%
	Totals	95	47.0%	35	17.4%	201	130	64.7%

Table 9 shows that the youth most likely to be admitted to a YRS facility in the first 18 months are those sent to Secure Detention and then a Level 4 facility or direct to Level 4 are the least likely to be admitted to an Adult facility, 38% to 55% of this group returns to a YRS facility.

Table 9: Re-Admission by Facility Flow

		Juvenile f		Adult Fa		Total		
Faci	lity Flow	Re- Admission	%	Re- Admission	%	Youth At Risk	Any Re- Admission	% Any Re- Admission
	SDL5L4	37	33.6%	37	33.6%	110	74	67.3%
	SDL5	6	37.5%	7	43.8%	16	13	81.3%
2008	SDL4	133	55.6%	32	13.4%	239	165	69.0%
20	L5L4	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	7	6	85.7%
	L4	9	40.9%	3	13.6%	22	12	54.5%
	Totals	187	47.6%	83	21.1%	393	270	68.7%
	SDL5L4	18	24.0%	27	36.0%	75	45	60.0%
	SDL5	2	13.3%	6	40.0%	15	8	53.3%
6	SDL4	53	47.7%	15	13.5%	111	68	61.3%
2009	L5L4	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3	1	33.3%
"	L5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0	0.0%
	L4	5	38.5%	2	15.4%	13	7	53.8%
	Totals	78	35.6%	51	23.3%	219	129	58.9%
	SDL5L4	20	33.9%	17	28.8%	59	37	62.7%
	SDL5	0	0.0%	4	80.0%	5	4	80.0%
2010	SDL4	68	55.3%	13	10.6%	123	81	65.9%
7	L4	7	50.0%	1	7.1%	14	8	57.1%
	Totals	95	47.3%	35	17.4%	201	130	64.7%

Section 3: Re-Arrest and Re-Admission by Facility

Tables 10 through 13 contain recidivism information by YRS facility. Tables 10 and 11 show YRS Level 5 facilities by re-arrest and re-admission. Ferris youth are broken into two groups, those who were at Ferris and then at Mowlds, and those who were directly released from Ferris. Overall, juveniles released from Ferris were just as likely to be arrested in the first 18 months of release and also more likely to be arrested in the first 12 months for a Felony with youth who went to Mowlds after Ferris being most likely to reoffend. Youth from Ferris were more likely as youth who attended other Level 5 facilities to be admitted to a YRS or Adult facility in the first 18 months of release.

Table 10: YRS Level 5 facility by Re-Arrest

		Any Arrest Felony Arrest				
		Re-Arrest in 18 months	%	Felony Arrest in first 12 months	%	Total
	Ferris School	9	75.0%	5	41.7%	12
2008	Ferris to Mowlds	96	84.2%	58	50.9%	114
20	Southwest Indiana Regional Youth	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	Totals	110	82.7%	65	48.9%	133
	Ferris School	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	10
2009	Ferris to Mowlds	64	82.1%	31	39.7%	78
20	Cove Prep	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	8
	Totals	<i>78</i>	81.3%	35	36.5%	96
)	Ferris School	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	5
2010	Ferris to Mowlds	50	84.7%	28	47.5%	59
7	Totals	53	82.8%	29	45.3%	64

Table 11: YRS Level 5 facility by Re-Admission

		JV Faci	, ,	Adult Fa				
		Re- Admission	%	Re- Admission	%	Total	Any Re- Admission	% Any Re- Admission
	Ferris School	4	33.3%	6	50.0%	12	10	83.3%
2008	Ferris to Mowlds	38	33.3%	40	35.1%	114	78	68.4%
20	Southwest Indiana Regional Youth	3	42.9%	2	28.6%	7	5	71.4%
	Totals	45	33.8%	48	36.1%	133	93	69.9%
	Ferris School	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	10	5	50.0%
2009	Ferris to Mowlds	18	23.1%	28	35.9%	<i>78</i>	46	59.0%
20	Cove Prep	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	8	3	37.5%
	Totals	20	20.8%	34	35.4%	96	54	56.3%
)	Ferris School	0	0.0%	4	80.0%	5	4	80.0%
2010	Ferris to Mowlds	20	33.9%	17	28.8%	59	37	62.7%
, ,	Totals	20	31.3%	21	32.8%	64	41	64.1%

Table 12 and 13 describe Level 4 facilities by re-arrest and re-admission information. Grace and Southwest Indiana Regional Youth have the lowest youth arrested in the first 18 months and the lowest youth arrested for a Felony in the first 12 months among the eight most used facilities for 2008. Stetson school has the least number of youth re-arrested in 2009 and 2010. Juveniles from Grace, all female, are just as likely as their male counterparts to be admitted to a YRS facility but less likely to be admitted to an Adult facility in the first 18 months of release.

Table 12: YRS Level 4 facilities by Re-Arrest

	,,,,,,,	Any Arı	•	Felony Arre	st	
		Re-Arrest in		Felony Arrest in		Total
		18 months	%	first 12 months	%	
	Mowlds Cottage (no Ferris)	18	94.7%	9	47.4%	19
	Snowden Cottage	75	90.4%	42	50.6%	83
	Grace Cottage	44	74.6%	14	23.7%	59
	Glen Mills School	19	79.2%	10	41.7%	24
8	Youth Services	18	85.7%	11	52.4%	21
2008	Springfield Academy	16	88.9%	7	38.9%	18
(7	Summit Academy	15	88.2%	11	64.7%	17
	Chamberlain Academy	15	100.0%	7	46.7%	15
	Southwest Indiana Regional Youth	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	8
	Totals	226	85.6%	112	42.4%	264
	Mowlds Cottage (no Ferris)	7	70.0%	4	40.0%	10
	Snowden Cottage	49	84.5%	28	48.3%	58
	Grace Cottage	20	83.3%	4	16.7%	24
	Glen Mills School	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
2009	Youth Services	7	100.0%	5	71.4%	7
7(Southwest Indiana Regional Youth	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	Stetson School	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	University Behavioral Center	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	7
	Totals	97	78.2%	47	37.9%	124
	Mowlds Cottage (no Ferris)	5	71.4%	4	57.1%	7
	Snowden Cottage	52	88.1%	25	42.4%	59
	Grace Cottage	12	75.0%	2	12.5%	16
10	Glen Mills School	19	79.2%	8	33.3%	24
2010	Youth Services	14	87.5%	8	50.0%	16
	Springfield Academy	7	100.0%	3	42.9%	7
	Stetson School	5	62.5%	2	25.0%	8
	Totals	114	83.2%	52	38.0%	137

Table 13: YRS Level 4 facilities by Re-Admission

	Tubi	JV Facility		Adult Facility				2/ 1 2
		Re- Admission	%	Re- Admission	%	Total	Any Re- Admission	% Any Re- Admission
	Mowlds Cottage (no Ferris)	12	63.2%	3	15.8%	19	15	78.9%
	Snowden Cottage	53	63.9%	9	10.8%	83	62	74.7%
	Grace Cottage	30	50.8%	5	8.5%	59	35	59.3%
	Glen Mills School	8	33.3%	8	33.3%	24	16	66.7%
2008	Youth Services	11	52.4%	2	9.5%	21	13	61.9%
20	Springfield Academy	10	55.6%	2	11.1%	18	12	66.7%
	Summit Academy	10	58.8%	3	17.6%	17	13	76.5%
	Chamberlain Academy	7	46.7%	2	13.3%	15	9	60.0%
	Southwest Indiana Regional Youth	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	8	4	50.0%
	Totals	143	54.2%	36	13.6%	264	179	67.8%
	Mowlds Cottage (no Ferris)	4	40.0%	3	30.0%	10	7	70.0%
	Snowden Cottage	28	48.3%	9	15.5%	58	37	63.8%
	Grace Cottage	14	58.3%	2	8.3%	24	16	66.7%
6	Glen Mills School	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	2	28.6%
2009	Youth Services	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	7	4	57.1%
,,	Southwest Indiana Regional Youth	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	6	4	66.7%
	Stetson School	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5	2	40.0%
	University Behavioral Center	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	7	3	42.9%
	Totals	58	46.8%	17	13.7%	124	75	60.5%
	Mowlds Cottage (no Ferris)	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	7	3	42.9%
	Snowden Cottage	34	57.6%	8	13.6%	59	42	71.2%
	Grace Cottage	6	37.5%	1	6.3%	16	7	43.8%
2010	Glen Mills School	14	58.3%	1	4.2%	24	15	62.5%
20	Youth Services	11	68.8%	1	6.3%	16	12	75.0%
	Springfield Academy	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	7	6	85.7%
	Stetson School	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	8	4	50.0%
	Totals	<i>7</i> 5	54.7%	14	10.2%	137	89	65.0%

Section 4: Re-Arrest and Re-Admission by Race and Age

The final four tables describe recidivism by age and race. Tables 14 and 15 show race by re-arrest and re-admission. Black juveniles released in 2008, 2009 and 2010 were just as likely as White juveniles to be arrested in the first 18 months of release and similarly likely to be arrested in the first 12 months for a Felony, except for 2008 where Black youth are more likely than White youth to be arrested for Felony. Black youth were more likely in 2008 and 2010 than their White counterparts to be admitted to a YRS facility within 18 months of release but slightly less likely to be admitted to an Adult facility.

Table 14: Race by Re-Arrest

		Any Arr	est	Felony Arre		
		Re-Arrest in 18 months	%	Felony Arrest in first 12 months	%	Total
	Black	233	86.6%	137	50.9%	269
2008	White	99	81.1%	39	32.0%	122
20	Other	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	Totals	334	84.8%	177	44.9%	394
	Black	128	79.0%	61	37.7%	162
2009	White	42	75.0%	21	37.5%	56
20	Other	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	Totals	171	78.1%	82	37.4%	219
	Black	112	83.0%	55	40.7%	135
2010	White	55	83.3%	26	39.4%	66
7	Totals	167	83.1%	81	40.3%	201

Table 15: Race by Re-Admission

		JV Facility		Adult Facility				
		Re- Admission	%	Re- Admission	%	Total	Any Re- Admission	% Any Re- Admission
	Black	146	54.3%	50	18.6%	269	196	72.9%
2009 2008	White	41	33.6%	33	27.0%	122	74	60.7%
	Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0	0.0%
	Totals	187	47.5%	83	21.1%	394	270	68.5%
	Black	60	37.0%	36	22.2%	162	96	59.3%
	White	18	32.1%	15	26.8%	56	33	58.9%
	Other	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0	0.0%
	Totals	82	37.4%	51	23.3%	219	133	60.7%
2010	Black	69	51.1%	23	17.0%	135	92	68.1%
	White	26	39.4%	12	18.2%	66	38	57.6%
	Totals	95	47.3%	35	17.4%	201	130	64.7%

Tables 16 and 17 illustrate age by re-arrest and re-admission. Juveniles who are 19 to 20 years old when they are released have the lowest percent of re-arrests than any other age. Table 17 shows readmission by age. As expected, the younger the juvenile when released the less likely they are to be admitted to an Adult facility. The younger the juvenile when released the more likely he/she is to be admitted to a YRS facility in the first 18 months of release, and the older the youth when released, the more likely he/she is to be admitted to an adult institution.

Table 16: Age by Re-Arrest

Age at Release		Any Arre	st	Felony Arre		
		Re-Arrest in 18 % months		Felony Arrest in first 12 months	%	Total
	12	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	1
	13	6	85.7%	4	57.1%	7
	14	25	86.2%	15	51.7%	29
_ ~	15	48	92.3%	29	55.8%	52
2008	16	89	83.2%	46	43.0%	107
2	17	96	86.5%	46	41.4%	111
	18	67	81.7%	34	41.5%	82
	19-20	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	5
	Totals	334	84.8%	177	44.9%	394
	13	4	100.0%	1	25.0%	4
	14	19	86.4%	11	50.0%	22
	15	25	80.6%	11	35.5%	31
2009	16	32	68.1%	14	29.8%	47
20	17	52	82.5%	22	34.9%	63
	18	35	76.1%	22	47.8%	46
	19-20	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	6
	Totals	171	78.1%	82	37.4%	219
	12	1	100.0%	1	100.0%	1
	13	9	100.0%	4	44.4%	9
	14	14	87.5%	6	37.5%	16
	15	26	74.3%	15	42.9%	35
2010	16	45	84.9%	25	47.2%	53
(7	17	43	82.7%	16	30.8%	52
	18	29	87.9%	14	42.4%	33
	19-20	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	Totals	167	83.1%	81	40.3%	201

Table 17: Age by Re-Admission

_	go at	JV Facilit	JV Facility		Adult Facility		Λην Ρο	% Any Re-
	age at elease	Re-Admission	%	Re-Admission	%	Total	Any Re- Admission	Admission
	12	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	1	100.0%
	13	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	7	5	71.4%
	14	21	72.4%	0	0.0%	29	21	72.4%
~	15	37	71.2%	0	0.0%	52	37	71.2%
2008	16	70	65.4%	1	0.9%	107	71	66.4%
(1	17	50	45.0%	29	26.1%	111	79	71.2%
	18	3	3.7%	51	62.2%	82	54	65.9%
	19-20	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5	2	40.0%
	Totals	187	47.5%	83	21.1%	394	270	68.5%
	13	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4	1	25.0%
	14	6	27.3%	0	0.0%	22	6	27.3%
	15	12	38.7%	0	0.0%	31	12	38.7%
2009	16	23	48.9%	1	2.1%	47	24	51.1%
20	17	15	23.8%	23	36.5%	63	38	60.3%
	18	1	2.2%	24	52.2%	46	25	54.3%
	19-20	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	6	3	50.0%
	Totals	58	26.5%	51	23.3%	219	109	49.8%
	12	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0	0.0%
	13	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	9	2	22.2%
	14	6	37.5%	0	0.0%	16	6	37.5%
	15	11	31.4%	0	0.0%	35	11	31.4%
2010	16	20	37.7%	0	0.0%	53	20	37.7%
	17	19	36.5%	14	26.9%	52	33	63.5%
	18	1	3.0%	20	60.6%	33	21	63.6%
	19-20	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	1	50.0%
	Totals	59	29.4%	35	17.4%	201	94	46.8%

Section 5: Conclusions

In conclusion, 60% to 100% of juveniles released from a YRS facility in 2008, 2009 or 2010 were rearrested within the first 18 months and re-admitted to a YRS facility between 20% and 69% of the time in the first 18 months of release. Further analysis on these release cohorts should be done to evaluate prior arrest history to explain if those arrested for a felony in the first 12 months of release are developing their criminal behavior. Additional analysis should also include a closer look at Race and readmission to YRS and Adult facilities to help determine racial disproportions.